ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

Princeton Pike Corporate Center, Suite 203

2000 Lenox Dr.

Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

P: 609.392.2100 F: 609.392.7956

Attorneys for Verde Energy USA, Inc.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

JULIAN SANDERS,

Plaintiff, Civil Case No.: 2:17-cv-02925-MCA-

LDW

Hon. Leda Dunn Wettre

VERDE ENERGY,

JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN

Defendant.

1. Set forth a factual description of the case. Include the causes of action and affirmative defenses asserted.

By Plaintiff:

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant violated the Telephone Consumer Protection Act ("TCPA") by making automatically dialed telephone calls to his cellular telephone without consent. Beginning in or about January of 2016 and continuing through in or about March of 2016, Plaintiff received automatically dialed telemarketing calls from Defendant. Plaintiff believes the calls were autodialed because there would be a noticeable delay before speaking to a representative. Plaintiff does not believe that there was prior express consent for such calls, but Plaintiff requested that the calls cease in or about January of 2016. Because Plaintiff requested that calls cease, Plaintiff would have effectively revoke any prior express consent (if any had existed). Plaintiff therefore seeks statutory damages of \$500 to \$1,500 per violative call under the TCPA.

By Defendant:

Defendant denies that it violated the TCPA, and denies that the Plaintiff's claims are valid. Defendant maintains that Plaintiff granted prior express consent to receiving calls on January 31, 2016, and did not revoke his consent. Defendant denies that any calls were made with an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS"), as statutorily defined, and denies that Plaintiff sustained an injury-in-fact sufficient to confer constitutional standing. Defendant intends to file a Motion to Stay this action pending

2.	Have	settlement discussions taken place? Yes No						
	If so,	when?						
	Cour	parties are currently engaged in settlement discussions and will provide the t with additional information at the status conference scheduled in this matter behavior 5, 2018.						
3.	The p	parties have exchanged the information required by <u>Fed. R. Civ. P.</u> 26(a)(1).						
4.	Desc	ribe any discovery conducted other than the above disclosures.						
	None	e.						
5.	Desc Inclu a di s	erally, dispositive Motions cannot be filed until the completion of discovery. ribe any Motions any party may seek to make prior to the completion of discovery. Ide any jurisdictional Motions and Motions to Amend. Defendants may seek to make spositive motion depending on the outcome of the <u>ACA</u> Case prior to the pletion of discovery.						
6.	The parties proposed the following:							
	(a)	Discovery is need on the following subjects:						
	cons	Defendant's discovery will be directed primarily to Plaintiff's grant of prior express consent, the absence of a revocation of consent, the number of calls the Plaintiff received, and Plaintiff's alleged actual damages.						
	(b)	Should discovery be conducted in phases? If so, explain.						
		No						
	(c)	Number of Interrogatories by each party to each other party						
		25						
	(d)	Number of Depositions to be taken by each party						
		5						
	(e)	Plaintiff's expert report due on July 31, 2018						
	(f)	Defendant's expert report due on September 14, 2018						

	(g)	Motions to Amend or to Add Parties to be filed by <u>July 31, 2018</u>						
	(h)	Dispositive motions to be served within <u>45</u> days of completion of discovery.						
	(i)	Factual discovery to be completed by <u>July 31, 2018</u>						
	(j)	Expert discovery to be completed by October 31, 2018						
	(k)	Set forth any special discovery mechanism or procedure requested, including data preservation orders or protective orders: Defendant will work with Plaintiff to agree to a mutually acceptable joint motion for protective order.						
	(1)	the Cou	e Court's Order on					
	(m)	Trial by jury or non-jury Trial? Ju	<u>cy</u>					
	(n)	Trial date <u>TBD</u>						
7.	Do yo	ou anticipate any discovery problem?	Yes	_ No _	<u>X</u>			
8.	proble	you anticipate any special discovery needs (i.e. videotape/telephone depositions, lems with out-of-state witnesses or documents, etc.?) No $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{X}}}$						
9.	or oth specia proced	ate whether this case is appropriate for voluntary arbitration (pursuant to <u>L. Civ. R.</u> 201.1. otherwise), mediation (pursuant to <u>L. Civ. R.</u> 301.1 or otherwise), appointment of a ecial master or other special procedure. If not, explain why and state whether any such occdure may be appropriate at a later time (i.e., after exchange of pretrial disclosures, er completion of depositions, after disposition of dispositive motions, etc.).						
10.	Is this	case appropriate for bifurcation? Y	es N	lo	X	_		
11.	We [do/do not_X_] consent to the trial being conducted by a Magistrate Judge.							
		Respectfully submitted,						
		KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff						
		R 3	s/ Richard J. Alb Lichard J. Albane O East Butler Pik Ambler, PA 1900	se, Esq. e				

Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (215) 540-8817

Email: ralbanese@creditlaw.com

ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC

Attorneys for Verde Energy USA, Inc.

By: /s/ Jill R. Cohen

Jill R. Cohen (JRC – 3448) jcohen@eckertseamans.com Princeton Pike Corporate Center, Suite 203 2000 Lenox Dr. Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

P: 609.392.2100 F: 609.392.7956

Dated: January 31, 2018